THE YITZHAK LAW GROUP

ERICA T. YITZHAK

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MICHELE M. BOWMAN* SARADJA PAUL

*ALSO ADMITTED IN TEXAS

New York, NY 10007-1312

July 9, 2020

VIA: ECF

Hon. Lewis J. Liman U.S. District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St.

Application DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Defendants may renew the motion to compel on notice to the third parties referenced below.

The Court reminds Defendants, as it did on June 4, 2020 (see Dkt. No. 52) Southern District of New York that, pursuant to Paragraph 1(A) of the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases, all communications with the Court should be filed on ECF in textsearchable form.

7/9/2020

Re: Request for Order of Compliance with Third-Party Subpoenas

United States District Judge

LEWIŞ/J. LIMAN

Santiago Rocael Perez Ramirez v. A Spice Route, Inc. d/b/a Tandoor Palace, Satya Foods Inc. d/b/a A Saffron Thread, Inderjeet Singh, and Manveen Singh | Docket No. 19-CV-07641

Dear Judge Liman:

My firm represents the Defendants in the above matter, which was brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New York Labor Law. Plaintiff Santiago Rocael Perez Ramirez alleges to have worked certain hours as a delivery person and kitchen helper for Defendant Manveen Singh's lower-Manhattan restaurant Tandoor Palace on and off from September 2014 to June 2019.

We are now writing to the Court, per Your Honor's directive in our last hearing on June 4, 2020 (Transcript, p. 11), to notify you that we have not received any responses from the third parties on which we served subpoenas in late May and early June. We subpoenaed Relay Delivery and Uber Eats, seeking their records relating to the Plaintiff's employment with those entities during the same time he is alleged to have worked full- and overtime for Defendants.

We served Relay Delivery with a subpoena for Plaintiff's records of time, pay, and/or employment on May 29, with a return date of June 12 (Exhibit A). We served Uber Eats with a

We also served the Asian Wok Restaurant with a similar subpoena, based on Defendant Manveen Singh's good faith belief that the Plaintiff was working simultaneously for this restaurant, located next door to her own. Enforcement of this subpoena is not necessary at this point because we were able to secure an affidavit from the owner of the Asian Wok.

Case 1:19-cv-07641-LJL Document 89 Filed 07/09/20 Page 2 of 8

Perez Ramirez v. A Spice Route, Inc. July 9, 2020 Page -2-

subpoena for the same on June 4, with a return date of June 18 (Exhibit B). Neither entity has responded.

We believe responses to these subpoenas will show that Plaintiff was working for other restaurants and/or food delivery services during the same time he was claiming to be working up to 78 hours per week for Defendants, thereby negating the possibility he was working as a non-exempt full-time employee for Defendants, as he claims in his complaint. We also believe that responses to these subpoenas will assist us in deposing the Plaintiff, which will be essentially fruitless unless we have evidence to confront him with. Given that all of his answers have been "none" thus far, as I noted to the Court in our June 4 hearing (Transcript, pp. 9-10, 15-16) and the Court acknowledged (Transcript, p. 18), we expect more of the same, absent any concrete proof he was working for others.

Because we have not received Plaintiff's employment records from these third parties yet, due to no fault of our own, at this time we are only asking the Court to "So-Order" the Relay Delivery and Uber Eats subpoenas, which we will then re-serve.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michele M. Bowman

² We are in the process of scheduling a phone conference with Plaintiff's counsel on Friday, July 10 to discuss the rescheduling of Plaintiff's and Defendants' depositions, so another letter-motion is likely forthcoming from the parties on that issue.

EXHIBIT A

AO 88B (Rev. 12/13) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern D	District of New York
Santiago Rocael Perez Ramirez	
Plaintiff	- <i>)</i>
V.	Civil Action No. Case No. 19-cv-07641
A Spice Route, Inc. d/b/a Tandoor Palace, Satya Foods Inc. d/b/a A Saffron Thread, et al.))
Defendant)
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOC OR TO PERMIT INSPECTIO	TUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS N OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: Relay Delivery, 440 Park Ave	nue South, 14th Floor, New York, NY 10016
	to whom this subpoena is directed)
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to pr	oduce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following
documents, electronically stored information, or objects material: Records of time, pay, and/or employment of S	S. and to permit inspection conving testing or sampling of the
Place: The Yitzhak Law Group	Date and Time:
1 Linden Place, Suite 406, Great Neck, NY 110	06/12/2020 12:00 am
other property possessed or controlled by you at the tim	NDED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or use, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party ole the property or any designated object or operation on it. Date and Time:
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 a Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subjerespond to this subpoena and the potential consequences	are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; ct to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to s of not doing so.
Date: 05/27/2020	
CLERK OF COURT	OR OR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy	y Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number	er of the attorney representing (name of party) A Spice Route Inc.
Tandoor Palace, Inderjeet Singh and Manveen Singh	, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Michele M. Bowman, The Yitzhak Law Group, 1 Linden michele@etylaw.com, 516-466-7144	Place, Suite 406, Great Neck, NY 11021,
Notice to the person who	issues or requests this subpoena

A notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom

it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Attorney(s)

Index #

19-cv-07641

Purchased/Filed: State of New York

Court:

U. S. District

County:

Southern Dist.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE - SECRETARY OF STATE

Santiago Rocael Perez Ramirez

Plaintiff(s)

against

A Spice Route, Inc. d/b/a Tandoor Palace, et al

		Defendant(s
STATE OF NEW YORK)	DESCRIPTION OF PERSON SERVE	D: Approx. Age: 55 Yrs.
COUNTY OF ALBANY () SS () CITY OF ALBANY ()	Weight: 120 Lbs. Height: 5' 0"	Sex: Female Color of skin: White
	Hair color: Blonde Other:	
Sean Warner	, being duly sworn,	deposes and says: deponent is over
the age of eighteen (18) years; is May 29, 2020	not a party to this action, and resides in , at 12:15PM , at the office of the S	the State of NY, and that on Secretary of State of the State of NY,
	n Fl, Albany, New York 12231 deponent ents, Information, or Objects or to Permi	served: t Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action
on	Relay Delivery	
the Defendant in this action, by de	elivering to and leaving with	Sue Zouky
AUTHORIZED AGENT in the Office	ce of the Secretary of State, of the State	of New York, personally at the
Office of the Secretary of State of	the State of New York, two (2) true cop	ies thereof and that at the time of
making such service, deponent pa	aid said Secretary of State a fee of	\$40 dollars; That said service
was made pursuant to Section	BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW §306	
Deponent further says that depon	ent knew the person so served as afore	said to be the agent in the Office
of the Secretary of State of the St	ate of New York, duly authorized to acc	ept such service on behalf of said
defendant.		
Sworn to before me on this	2	
29th day of May 2	ller	Soon Warner

Notary Public State of New York 01GU6382699 RENSSELAER COUNTY Commission Expires OCTOBER 29, 2022

Invoice Work Order # 2012134
Attorney File # Ramirez

EXHIBIT B

AO 88B (Rev. 12/13) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York				
Santiago Rocael Perez Ramirez Plaintiff	Civil Action No. Case No. 19-cv-07641			
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PRE				
To: Uber Technologies, Inc., 28 Liberty St., New York, NY, 10005				
(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)				
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: Records of time, pay, and/or employment of Santiago Rocael Perez Ramirez by Uber Eats in New York City				
Place: The Yitzhak Law Group	Date and Time:			
1 Linden Place, Suite 406, Great Neck, NY 11021	06/18/2020 12:00 pm			
☐ Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to p other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property place:	d location set forth below, so that the requesting party			
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so. Date:				
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	OR Attorney's signature			
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the at Tandoor Palace, Inderjeet Singh and Manveen Singh	, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:			
Michele M. Bowman, The Yitzhak Law Group, 1 Linden Place, Su michele@etylaw.com, 516-466-7144	ite 406, Great Neck, NY 11021,			

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

A notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Attorney(s)

Index #

19-CV-07641

Purchased/Filed: State of New York

Court:

U. S. District

County:

Southern Dist.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE - SECRETARY OF STATE

Satiago Rocael Perez Ramirez

Plaintiff(s)

against

A Spice Route Inc d/b/a Tandoor Palace et al Defendant(s) STATE OF NEW YORK) **DESCRIPTION OF PERSON SERVED:** Approx. Age: 55 Yrs. COUNTY OF ALBANY) SS CITY OF ALBANY Sex: Female Color of skin: White Weight: 120 Lbs. Height: 5' 0" Hair color: Blonde Other: , being duly sworn, deposes and says: deponent is over Robert Guyette the age of eighteen (18) years; is not a party to this action, and resides in the State of NY, and that on June 4, 2020 12:00PM , at the office of the Secretary of State of the State of NY, located at 99 Washington Ave, 6th Fl, Albany, New York 12231 deponent served: Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action on **Uber Technologies Inc.** Sue Zouky the Defendant in this action, by delivering to and leaving with AUTHORIZED AGENT in the Office of the Secretary of State, of the State of New York, personally at the Office of the Secretary of State of the State of New York, two (2) true copies thereof and that at the time of making such service, deponent paid said Secretary of State a fee of \$40 dollars; That said service was made pursuant to Section BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW §306. Deponent further says that deponent knew the person so served as aforesaid to be the agent in the Office of the Secretary of State of the State of New York, duly authorized to accept such service on behalf of said defendant. Sworn to before me on this June 2020 day of FAITH COZZ

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New Yor No. 01CO6158874, Albany County Commission Expires Jan 8, 2023

Robert Guyette

Invoice-Work Order # 2012812 Attorney File # Ramirez